

List Edge and List Total Colorings of Planar Graphs without non-induced 7-cycles[†]

Aijun Dong^{1‡}Guizhen Liu^{2§}Guojun Li^{2¶}¹*School of science, Shandong Jiaotong University, Jinan 250023, P. R. China*²*School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, P. R. China**received 22nd November 2010, revised 11th October 2011, 10th July 2012, accepted 19th February 2013.*

Giving a planar graph G , let $\chi'_l(G)$ and $\chi''_l(G)$ denote the list edge chromatic number and list total chromatic number of G respectively. It is proved that if G is a planar graph without non-induced 7-cycles, then $\chi'_l(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ and $\chi''_l(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 2$ where $\Delta(G) \geq 7$.

Keywords: List coloring; Planar graph; Choosability.

1 Introduction

The terminology and notation used but undefined in this paper can be found in [1]. Let G be a graph and we use $V(G)$, $E(G)$, $F(G)$, $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ to denote the vertex set, edge set, face set, maximum degree, and minimum degree of G , respectively. Let $d_G(x)$ or simply $d(x)$, denote the degree of a vertex (resp. face) x in G . A vertex (resp. face) x is called a k -vertex (resp. k -face), k^+ -vertex (resp. k^+ -face), or k^- -vertex, if $d(x) = k$, $d(x) \geq k$, or $d(x) \leq k$. We use $(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ to denote a face f if $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$ are the degrees of vertices which are incident with the face f . If $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$ are the vertices on the boundary walk of a face f , then we write $f = u_1 u_2 \dots u_n$. Let $\delta(f)$ denote the minimal degree of vertices which are incident with f . We use $f_i(v)$ to denote the number of i -faces which are incident with v for each $v \in V(G)$. Let $n_i(f)$ denote the number of i -vertices which are incident with f for each $f \in F(G)$. A cycle C of length k is called k -cycle, and if there is at least one edge $xy \in E(G) \setminus E(C)$ and $x, y \in V(C)$, the cycle C is called *non-induced k -cycle*.

The mapping L is said to be a *total assignment* for a graph G if it assigns a list $L(x)$ of possible colors to each element $x \in V(G) \cup E(G)$. If G has a proper total coloring $\phi(x) \in L(x)$ for all $x \in V(G) \cup E(G)$, then we say that G is *total- L -colorable*. Let $f : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow N$ where f is a function into the positive integers. We say that G is *total- f -choosability* if it is total- L -colorable for every total assignment L

[†]Supported by NSFC grants 11101243, 11161035.

[‡]E-mail: dongaijun@mail.sdu.edu.cn

[§]E-mail: gzliu@sdu.edu.cn.

[¶]E-mail: guojunsdu@gmail.com.

satisfying $|L(x)| = f(x)$ for all $x \in V(G) \cup E(G)$. The *list total coloring number* $\chi_l''(G)$ of G is the smallest integer k such that G is total- f -choosability when $f(x) = k$ for each $x \in V(G) \cup E(G)$. The *list edge coloring number* $\chi_l'(G)$ of G is defined similarly in terms of coloring edges alone; and so is the concept of *edge- f -choosability*. On the list coloring number of a graph G , there is a famous conjecture known as the List Coloring Conjecture.

Conjecture 1 For a multigraph G ,

$$(a) \chi_l'(G) = \chi'(G); \quad (b) \chi_l''(G) = \chi''(G).$$

Part (a) of Conjecture 1 was formulated independently by Vizing, by Gupta, by Alberson and Collins, and by Bollobás and Harris [6, 11]. It is well known as the *List Coloring Conjecture*. Part (b) was formulated by Borodin, Kostochka and Woodall [2]. Part (a) has been proved for bipartite multigraphs [5]. Part (a) and Part (b) have been proved for outerplanar graphs [15], and graphs with $\Delta \geq 12$ which can be embedded in a surface of nonnegative characteristic [2]. There are several related results for planar graphs, such as planar graphs without 4-cycles by Hou et al.[9], planar graphs without 4- and 5-cycles or planar graphs without intersecting 4-cycles by Liu et al.[13], planar graphs without triangles adjacent 4-cycles by Li et al.[14], planar graphs without intersecting triangles by Sheng et al.[18].

To confirm Conjecture 1 is a challenging work. From the Vizing Theorem and the Total Coloring Conjecture, the following weak conjecture is presented.

Conjecture 2 For a multigraph G ,

$$(a) \chi_l'(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1; \quad (b) \chi_l''(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 2.$$

Part (a) of Conjecture 2 has been proved for complete graphs of odd order [7]. Wang et al. confirmed part (a) of Conjecture 2 for planar graphs without 6-cycles or without 5-cycles [17, 16]. Zhang et al. proved part (a) of Conjecture 2 for planar graphs without triangles [19]. Hou et al. proved part (a) of Conjecture 2 for planar graphs without adjacent triangles or 7-cycles [8]. Cai et al. confirmed part (a) of Conjecture 2 for planar graphs without chordal 5-cycles [3]. Part (b) of Conjecture 2 was proved by Hou et al. for planar graphs G with $\Delta(G) \geq 9$ [10]. Dong et al. confirmed Conjecture 2 for planar graphs without 6-cycles with chord [4].

In this paper, we shall show the following result.

Theorem Let G be a planar graph without non-induced 7-cycles, if $\Delta(G) \geq 7$, then $\chi_l'(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ and $\chi_l''(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 2$.

2 Planar graphs without non-induced 7-cycles

First let us introduce some important lemmas.

Lemma 3 Let G be a planar graph without non-induced 7-cycles. Then there is an edge $uv \in E(G)$ such that $\min\{d(u), d(v)\} \leq \lfloor \frac{\Delta(G)+1}{2} \rfloor$ and $d(u) + d(v) \leq \max\{9, \Delta(G) + 2\}$.

Proof: Suppose to the contrary that G is a minimal counterexample to Lemma 3 in terms of the number of vertices and edges. Then we have $\delta(G) \geq 3$.

By Euler's formula $|V| - |E| + |F| = 2$ and $\sum_{v \in V(G)} d(v) = \sum_{f \in F(G)} d(f) = 2|E|$, we have

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} (2d(v) - 6) + \sum_{f \in F(G)} (d(f) - 6) = -6(|V| - |E| + |F|) = -12.$$

Define an initial charge function w on $V(G) \cup F(G)$ by setting $w(v) = 2d(v) - 6$ if $v \in V(G)$ and $w(f) = d(f) - 6$ if $f \in F(G)$, so that $\sum_{x \in V(G) \cup F(G)} w(x) = -12$. Now redistribute the charge according to the following discharging rules.

For convenience, let $\bar{w}(v)$ denote the total charge transferred from a vertex v to all its incident 4- and 5-faces where $d(v) = 5$.

D1 Let f be a 3-face incident with a vertex v . Then v gives f charge $\frac{4-\bar{w}(v)}{f_3(v)}$ if $d(v) = 5$, $\frac{3}{2}$ if $d(v) \geq 6$.

D2 Let f be a 4-face incident with a vertex v . Then v gives f charge $\frac{1}{2}$ if $d(v) = 4, 5$ and 6 , 1 if $d(v) \geq 7$.

D3 Let f be a 5-face incident with a vertex v . Then v gives f charge $\frac{1}{5}$ if $d(v) = 4, 5$ and 6 , $\frac{1}{3}$ if $d(v) \geq 7$.

Let the new charge of each element x be $w'(x)$ for each $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$.

In the following, let us check the new charge $w'(x)$ of each element $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$.

Suppose $d(v) = 3$. Then $w'(v) = w(v) = 0$.

Suppose $d(v) = 4$. Then $w(v) = 2$, $f_4(v) \leq 4$. If $2 \leq f_4(v) \leq 4$, then $f_5(v) = 0$ for G contains no non-induced 7-cycles. We have $w'(v) \geq 2 - \frac{1}{2} \times 4 = 0$ by *D2*. Otherwise, i.e. $f_4(v) \leq 1$, then $f_5(v) \leq 4$. Thus we have $w'(v) > 2 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{5} \times 4 = \frac{7}{10} > 0$ by *D2* and *D3*.

Suppose $d(v) = 5$. Then $w(v) = 4$, $f_3(v) \leq 5$. If $1 \leq f_3(v)$, then $w'(v) \geq 4 - \frac{4-\bar{w}(v)}{f_3(v)} f_3(v) - \bar{w}(v) = 0$ by *D1*. Otherwise, i.e. $f_3(v) = 0$, then $f_4(v) + f_5(v) \leq 5$. It is clear that $w'(v) > 4 - \frac{1}{2} \times 5 = \frac{3}{2} > 0$ by *D2* and *D3*.

Suppose $d(v) = 6$. Then $w(v) = 6$, $f_3(v) \leq 4$ for G contains no non-induced 7-cycles. If $f_3(v) = 4$, then $f_4(v) = 0$ and $f_5(v) = 0$ for G contains no non-induced 7-cycles. We have $w'(v) \geq 6 - \frac{3}{2} \times 4 = 0$ by *D1*. If $f_3(v) \leq 3$, then it is clear that $w'(v) > 6 - \frac{3}{2} \times 3 - \frac{1}{2} \times 3 = 0$ by *D1*, *D2* and *D3*.

Suppose $d(v) = 7$. Then $w(v) = 8$, $f_3(v) \leq 5$ for G contains no non-induced 7-cycles.

Suppose $f_3(v) = 5$. Then $f_4(v) = 0$ and $f_5(v) = 0$ for G contains no non-induced 7-cycles. We can get $w'(v) \geq 8 - \frac{3}{2} \times 5 = \frac{1}{2} > 0$ by *D1*.

Suppose $f_3(v) = 4$. Then $f_4(v) \leq 2$. If $f_4(v) = 2$, then $f_5(v) = 0$ for G contains no non-induced 7-cycles. We have $w'(v) \geq 8 - \frac{3}{2} \times 4 - 1 \times 2 = 0$ by *D1* and *D2*. If $f_4(v) \leq 1$, then $f_5(v) \leq 1$ for G contains no non-induced 7-cycles. We have $w'(v) \geq 8 - \frac{3}{2} \times 4 - 1 - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{3} > 0$ by *D1*, *D2* and *D3*.

Suppose $f_3(v) = 3$. Then $f_4(v) \leq 2$ and $f_5(v) \leq 2$ for G contains no non-induced 7-cycles. It is clear that $w'(v) > 8 - \frac{3}{2} \times 3 - 1 \times 2 - \frac{1}{3} \times 2 = \frac{5}{6} > 0$ by *D1*, *D2* and *D3*.

Suppose $f_3(v) \leq 2$. Then it is clear that $w'(v) > 8 - \frac{3}{2} \times 2 - 1 \times 5 = 0$ by *D1*, *D2* and *D3*.

Suppose $d(v) = 8$. Then $w(v) = 10$, $f_3(v) \leq 6$ for G contains no non-induced 7-cycles. If $f_3(v) = 6$, then $f_4(v) = 0$ and $f_5(v) = 0$ for G contains no non-induced 7-cycles. We have $w'(v) \geq 10 - \frac{3}{2} \times 6 = 1 > 0$ by *D1*. If $f_3(v) = 5$, then $f_4(v) \leq 1$ and $f_5(v) \leq 1$ for G contains no non-induced 7-cycles. We can get $w'(v) \geq 10 - \frac{3}{2} \times 5 - 1 - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{7}{6} > 0$ by *D1*, *D2* and *D3*. If $f_3(v) \leq 4$, then it is clear that $w'(v) \geq 10 - \frac{3}{2} \times 4 - 1 \times 4 = 0$ by *D1*, *D2* and *D3*.

Suppose $d(v) = 9$. Then $w(v) = 12$, $f_3(v) \leq 7$ for G contains no non-induced 7-cycles. If $f_3(v) = 7$, then $f_4(v) = 0$ and $f_5(v) = 0$ for G contains no non-induced 7-cycles. We can get $w'(v) \geq 12 - \frac{3}{2} \times 7 = \frac{3}{2} > 0$ by D1. If $f_3(v) \leq 6$, then it is clear that $w'(v) > 12 - \frac{3}{2} \times 6 - 1 \times 3 = 0$ by D1, D2 and D3.

Suppose $d(v) \geq 10$. Then $w(v) = 2d(v) - 6$, $f_4(v) + f_5(v) \leq d(v) - f_3(v)$. Thus we have $w'(v) \geq 2d(v) - 6 - \frac{3}{2}f_3(v) - f_4(v) - \frac{1}{3}f_5(v) \geq d(v) - 6 - \frac{1}{2}f_3(v)$ by D1, D2 and D3. Since $f_3(v) \leq \frac{4}{5}d(v)$, we have $w'(v) \geq \frac{3}{5}d(v) - 6 \geq 0$.

Suppose $d(f) = 3$. Then $w(f) = -3$.

Suppose $\delta(f) = 3$. Then f is a $(3, 7^+, 7^+)$ -face by assumption. We have $w'(f) = -3 + \frac{3}{2} \times 2 = 0$ by D1.

Suppose $\delta(f) = 4$. Then f is a $(4, 6^+, 6^+)$ -face by assumption. We have $w'(f) = -3 + \frac{3}{2} \times 2 = 0$ by D1.

Suppose $\delta(f) = 5$. Then f is a $(5, 5^+, 5^+)$ -face.

Suppose f is a $(5, 5, 5)$ -face. For convenience, let $f = uvw$. Of the three vertices u, v and w , there is at most one vertex which is incident with at least four 3-faces for the reason that G contains no non-induced 7-cycles. Without loss of generality, let $f_3(u) \geq 4$. Then $f_3(u) + f_4(u) + f_5(u) \leq 5$, $f_3(v) + f_4(v) + f_5(v) \leq 3$ and $f_3(w) + f_4(w) + f_5(w) \leq 3$ for G contains no non-induced 7-cycles. We have $\frac{4-\bar{w}(v)}{f_3(u)} \geq \frac{4}{5}$, $\frac{4-\bar{w}(v)}{f_3(v)} \geq \frac{4}{3}$, $\frac{4-\bar{w}(v)}{f_3(w)} \geq \frac{4}{3}$ by D2 and D3. Thus $w'(f) \geq -3 + \frac{4}{5} + \frac{4}{3} \times 2 = \frac{7}{15} > 0$ by D1. Now we assume that $f_3(u) \leq 3$, $f_3(v) \leq 3$, $f_3(w) \leq 3$. Then we have $\frac{4-\bar{w}(v)}{f_3(u)} \geq 1$ for G contains no non-induced 7-cycles and by D1, D2 and D3. Thus $w'(f) \geq -3 + 1 \times 3 = 0$ by D1.

Suppose f is a $(5, 5, 6^+)$ -face. For convenience, let $f = uvw$ where $d(u) = d(v) = 5$. Since $f_3(u) + f_4(u) + f_5(u) \leq 5$, $f_3(v) + f_4(v) + f_5(v) \leq 5$, we have $\frac{4-\bar{w}(v)}{f_3(u)} \geq \frac{4}{5}$, $\frac{4-\bar{w}(v)}{f_3(v)} \geq \frac{4}{5}$ by D2 and D3. Thus $w'(f) \geq -3 + \frac{4}{5} \times 2 + \frac{3}{2} = \frac{1}{10} > 0$ by D1.

Suppose f is a $(5, 6^+, 6^+)$ -face. Then we have $w'(f) > -3 + \frac{3}{2} \times 2 = 0$ by D1.

Suppose $\delta(f) \geq 6$. Then we have $w'(f) = -3 + \frac{3}{2} \times 3 = \frac{3}{2} > 0$ by D1.

Suppose $d(f) = 4$. Then $w(f) = -2$. If $\delta(f) = 3$, then f is a $(3, 3^+, 7^+, 7^+)$ -face by assumption. We have $w'(f) \geq -2 + 1 \times 2 = 0$ by D2. If $\delta(f) \geq 4$, then f is a $(4^+, 4^+, 4^+, 4^+)$ -face. We have $w'(f) \geq -2 + \frac{1}{2} \times 4 = 0$ by D2.

Suppose $d(f) = 5$. Then $w(f) = -1$.

Suppose $\delta(f) = 3$. Then $n_3(f) \leq 2$ by assumption. If $n_3(f) = 2$, then f is a $(3, 3, 7^+, 7^+, 7^+)$ -face by assumption. We have $w'(f) \geq -1 + \frac{1}{3} \times 3 = 0$ by D3. If $n_3(f) = 1$, then f is a $(3, 4^+, 4^+, 7^+, 7^+)$ -face by assumption. We have $w'(f) \geq -1 + \frac{1}{3} \times 2 + \frac{1}{5} \times 2 = \frac{1}{15} > 0$ by D3.

Suppose $\delta(f) \geq 4$. Then we have $w'(f) \geq -1 + \frac{1}{5} \times 5 = 0$ by D3.

Suppose $d(f) \geq 6$. Then $w'(f) = w(f) \geq 0$.

From the above discussion, we obtain $-12 = \sum_{x \in V(G) \cup F(G)} w'(x) \geq 0$, a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 4 *Let G be a planar graph without non-induced 7-cycles. Then $\chi'_l(G) \leq k + 1$ and $\chi''_l(G) \leq k + 2$ where $k = \max\{\Delta(G), 7\}$.*

Proof: Suppose to the contrary that G' and G'' are minimal counterexamples to the conclusions for χ'_l and χ''_l respectively. Let L' and L'' be list assignments such that $|L'(e)| = k + 1$ for each $e \in E(G)$, G' is not edge- L' -colorable, and $|L''(x)| = k + 2$ for each $x \in V(G) \cup E(G)$, G'' is not total- L'' -colorable. By Lemma 3, G' and G'' contain an edge $uv \in E(G)$ such that $\min\{d(u), d(v)\} \leq \lfloor \frac{\Delta(G)+1}{2} \rfloor$ and $d(u) + d(v) \leq \max\{9, \Delta(G) + 2\} = k + 2$.

Let $\bar{G}' = G' - uv$. Then $\bar{G}'$ is edge- L' -colorable by assumption. For $d(u) + d(v) \leq k + 2$, there are at most k edges which are adjacent to uv in $\bar{G}'$. Thus there is at least one color in $L'(uv)$ which we can use to color uv . Then G' is edge- L' -colorable, a contradiction.

Let $\bar{G}'' = G'' - uv$. Then $\bar{G}''$ is total- L'' -colorable by assumption. With loss of generality, let $d(u) = \min\{d(u), d(v)\}$. Erase the color on u , then there is at least one color in $L''(uv)$ which we can use to color uv for $d(u) + d(v) \leq k + 2$. For $d(u) \leq \lfloor \frac{\Delta(G)+1}{2} \rfloor \leq \lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor$, then u is adjacent to at most $\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor$ vertices and is incident with at most $\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor$ edges. Thus there is at least one color in $L''(u)$ which we can use to color u . Then G'' is total- L'' -colorable, a contradiction. From the above discussion, we have $\chi'_l(G) \leq k + 1$ and $\chi''_l(G) \leq k + 2$ where $k = \max\{\Delta(G), 7\}$. $\square$

By Lemma 4, it is easy to obtain the main theorem.

Theorem Let G be a planar graph without non-induced 7-cycles, if $\Delta(G) \geq 7$, then $\chi'_l(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ and $\chi''_l(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 2$.

References

- [1] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, North-Holland, New York, 1976.
- [2] O. Borodin, A. Kostochka, D. Woodall, List edge and list total colourings of multigraphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 71 (1997) 184–204.
- [3] J. Cai, J. Hou, X. Zhang, G. Liu, Edge-choosability of planar graphs without non-induced 5-cycles, Information Processing Letters, 109 (7) (2009) 343–346.
- [4] A. DONG, G. Liu, G. Li, List edge and list total colorings of planar graphs without 6-cycles with chord, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 49 (2012) 359–365.
- [5] F. Galvin, The list chromatic index of a bipartite multigraph, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 63 (1995) 153–158.
- [6] R. Häggkvist, A. Chetwynd, Some upper bounds on the total and list chromatic numbers of multigraphs, J. Graph Theory 16 (1992) 503–516.
- [7] R. Häggkvist, J. Janssen, New bounds on the list-chromatic index of the complete graph and other simple graphs, Combin. Probab. Comput. 6 (1997) 295–313.
- [8] J. Hou, G. Liu, J. Cai, Edge-choosability of planar graphs without adjacent triangles or without 7-cycle, Discrete Mathematics, 309 (2009) 77–84.
- [9] J. Hou, G. Liu, J. Cai, List Edge and List Total Colorings of Planar Graphs without 4-cycles, Theoretical Computer Science, 369 (2006) 250–255.
- [10] J. Hou, G. Liu, J. Wu, Some results on list total colorings of planar graphs, Lecture Note in Computer Science, 4489 (2007) 320–328.
- [11] T. Jensen, B. Toft, Graph Coloring Problem, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1995.

- [12] A. Kostochka, List edge chromatic number of graphs with large girth, *Discrete Math.* 101 (1992) 189–201.
- [13] B. Liu, J. Hou, G. Liu, List edge and list total colorings of planar graphs without short cycles, *Information Processing Letters*, 108 (2008) 347–351.
- [14] R. Li, B. Xu, Edge choosability and total choosability of planar graphs without no 3-cycles adjacent 4-cycles, *Discrete Mathematics*, 311 (2011) 2158–2163.
- [15] W. Wang, K. Lih, Choosability, edge-choosability and total choosability of outerplane graphs, *European J. Combin.* 22 (2001) 71–78.
- [16] W. Wang, K. Lih, Choosability and edge choosability of planar graphs without five cycles, *Appl. Math. Lett.* (2002) 15 561–565.
- [17] W. Wang, K. Lih, Structure properties and edge choosability of planar graphs without 6-cycles, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 10 (2001) 267–276.
- [18] H. Sheng, Y. Wang, A structural theorem for planar graphs with some applications, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 159 (2011) 1183–1187.
- [19] L. Zhang, B. Wu, Edge choosability of planar graphs without small cycles, *Discrete Math.* 283 (2004) 289–293.