Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science DMTCS vol. **13:2**, 2011, 109–112

Colouring the Square of the Cartesian Product of Trees

David R. Wood †

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

received 16th August 2010, accepted 4th August 2011.

We prove upper and lower bounds on the chromatic number of the square of the cartesian product of trees. The bounds are equal if each tree has even maximum degree.

Keywords: cartesian product, colouring, square graph

1 Introduction

This paper studies colourings of the square of cartesian products of trees. For simplicity we assume that a tree has at least one edge.

For our purposes, a *colouring* of a graph G is a function $c : V(G) \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that $c(v) \neq c(w)$ for every edge vw of G. The *square* graph G^2 of G has vertex set $V(G)$, where two vertices are adjacent in G^2 whenever they are adjacent in G or have a common neighbour in G. Thus, a colouring of G^2 corresponds to a colouring of G, such that in addition, vertices with a common neighbour in G are assigned distinct colours.

Let $[a, b] := \{a, a+1, \dots, b\}$. The *cartesian product* of graphs $G_1, \dots, G_d$ is the graph $G_1 \square \cdots \square G_d$ with vertex set $\{(v_1, \dots, v_d) : v_i \in V(G_i)\}$, where vertices $v = (v_1, \dots, v_d)$ and $w = (w_1, \dots, w_d)$ are adjacent whenever $v_i w_i \in E(G_i)$ for some $i \in [1, d]$, and $v_j = w_j$ for all $j \neq i$. In this case, vw is in *dimension* i. Let $\Delta(G)$ be the maximum degree of G.

Theorem 1 *Let $T_1, \dots, T_d$ be trees. Let $G := T_1 \square T_2 \square \cdots \square T_d$. Then*

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \Delta(T_i) \leq \chi(G^2) \leq 1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{d} \lceil \tfrac{1}{2} \Delta(T_i) \rceil \ .$$

This upper bound improves upon a similar bound by Jamison et al. (2006), who proved $\chi(G^2) \leq 1 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\Delta(T_i) - 1)$, assuming that each $\Delta(T_i) \geq 2$. Theorem 1 implies:

† `woodd@unimelb.edu.au`. Supported by a QEII Research Fellowship from the Australian Research Council.

1365–8050 © 2011 Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science (DMTCS), Nancy, France

Corollary 1 *Let $T_1, \dots, T_d$ be trees, such that $\Delta(T_i)$ is even for all $i \in [1, d]$. Let $G := T_1 \square T_2 \square \cdots \square T_d$. Then*

$$\chi(G^2) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \Delta(T_i) \ .$$

This corollary generalises a result of Fertin et al. (2003), who proved it when each T_i is a path, and thus G is a d-dimensional grid. See (Sopena and Wu, 2010; Fertin et al., 2004; Pór and Wood, 2009; Jamison et al., 2006; Chiang and Yan, 2008) for more related results.

2 The Proof

For a colouring c of a graph G, the *span* of an edge vw of G is $|c(v) - c(w)|$. The following lemma is well known; see (Pór and Wood, 2009) for example.

Lemma 1 *Let G be a graph. If G^2 has a colouring in which every edge of G has span at most s, then G^2 is $(2s + 1)$-colourable.*

Proof: Let $c : V(G^2) \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the given colouring of G^2. Since every edge of G has span at most s, every edge of G^2 has span at most $2s$. Let $c'(v) := c(v) \bmod (2s + 1)$ for each vertex v. Then $c'(v) \neq c'(w)$ for each edge vw of G^2. Thus G^2 is $(2s + 1)$-colourable. $\square$

Lemma 2 *For every tree T and non-negative integer s, T^2 has a colouring such that every edge of T has span in $[s + 1, s + \lceil \frac{1}{2}\Delta(T)\rceil]$.*

Proof: We proceed by induction on $|V(T)|$. If $|V(T)| = 2$ the result is trivial. Now assume that $|V(T)| \geq 3$. Let v be a leaf vertex of T. Let w be the neighbour of v. By induction, $(T - v)^2$ has a colouring c such that every edge of $T - v$ has span in $[s + 1, s + \lceil \frac{1}{2}\Delta(T)\rceil]$. Let

$$X := \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : |x| \in [s + 1, s + \lceil \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta(T)\rceil]\} \ .$$

Each neighbour of w in $T - v$ is coloured $c(w) + x$ for some $x \in X$. Since $|X| \geq \Delta(T)$ and w has degree less than $\Delta(T)$ in $T - v$, for some $x \in X$, no neighbour of w is coloured $c(w) + x$. Set $c(v) := c(w) + x$. Thus $|c(v) - c(w)| = |x| \in [s + 1, s + \lceil \frac{1}{2}\Delta(T)\rceil]$. No two neighbours of w receive the same colour. Hence c is the desired colouring of T. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1: The lower bound is well known (Jamison et al., 2006). In particular, for $i \in [1, d]$, let v_i be a vertex of maximum degree in T_i. Then $(v_1, \dots, v_d)$ has degree $\sum_i \Delta(T_i)$ in G. This vertex and its neighbours in G receive distinct colours in any colouring of G^2. Thus $\chi(G^2) \geq 1 + \sum_i \Delta(T_i)$.

Now we prove the upper bound. Let $s_1 := 0$ and $s_i := \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \lceil \frac{1}{2}\Delta(T_j)\rceil$. By Lemma 2, T_i^2 has a colouring c_i such that every edge of T_i has span in $[s_i + 1, s_i + \lceil \frac{1}{2}\Delta(T_i)\rceil]$. Thus the spans of edges in distinct trees are distinct.

Colour each vertex $v = (v_1, \dots, v_d)$ of G by $c(v) := \sum_{i=1}^{d} c_i(v_i)$.

Suppose on the contrary that $c(v) = c(w)$ for some edge vw of G. Say vw is in dimension i. Thus $v_j = w_j$ for all $j \neq i$. Hence $c_i(v_i) = c_i(w_i)$, and c_i is not a colouring of G. This contradiction proves that c is a colouring of G.

Suppose on the contrary that $c(x) = c(y)$ for two vertices x and y with a common neighbour v in G. Say vx is in dimension i, and vy is in dimension j. Thus $v_\ell = x_\ell$ for all $\ell \neq i$, and $v_\ell = y_\ell$ for all $\ell \neq j$. Now $c_i(x_i)-c_i(v_i) = c(x)-c(v) = c(y)-c(v) = c_j(y_j)-c_j(v_j)$. Thus the edges x_iv_i and y_jv_j have the same span. Since the spans of edges in distinct trees are distinct, $i = j$. Hence $c_i(x_i) = c_i(y_i)$. However, v_i is a common neighbour of x_i and y_i in T_i, implying c_i is not a colouring of T_i^2. This contradiction proves that c is a colouring of G^2.

Each edge of G has span at most $\sum_{i=1}^{d}\lceil\frac{1}{2}\Delta(T_i)\rceil$. The result follows from Lemma 1. □

References

S.-H. Chiang and J.-H. Yan. On $L(d, 1)$-labeling of Cartesian product of a cycle and a path. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 156(15):2867–2881, 2008. doi:10.1016/j.dam.2007.11.019.

G. Fertin, E. Godard, and A. Raspaud. Acyclic and k-distance coloring of the grid. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 87(1):51–58, 2003. doi:10.1016/S0020-0190(03)00232-1.

G. Fertin, A. Raspaud, and B. Reed. Star coloring of graphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 47(3):163–182, 2004. doi:10.1002/jgt.20029.

R. E. Jamison, G. L. Matthews, and J. Villalpando. Acyclic colorings of products of trees. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 99(1):7–12, 2006. doi:10.1016/j.ipl.2005.11.023.

A. Pór and D. R. Wood. Colourings of the Cartesian product of graphs and multiplicative Sidon sets. *Combinatorica*, 29(4):449–466, 2009. doi:10.1007/s00493-009-2257-0.

E. Sopena and J. Wu. Coloring the square of the cartesian product of two cycles. *Discrete Math.*, 310 (17-18):2327–2333, 2010. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2010.05.011.