Immersion Containment and Connectivity in Color-Critical Graphs[†‡]

Faisal N. Abu-Khzam[1§] and Michael A. Langston[2¶]

[1] *Department of Computer Science and Mathematics, Lebanese American University, Beirut, Lebanon*
[2] *Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of Tennessee, Knoxville TN, USA*

received 10th January 2012, *accepted 19th September 2012*.

The relationship between graph coloring and the immersion order is considered. Vertex connectivity, edge connectivity and related issues are explored. It is shown that a t-chromatic graph G contains either an immersed K_t or an immersed t-chromatic subgraph that is both 4-vertex-connected and t-edge-connected. This gives supporting evidence of our conjecture that if G requires at least t colors, then K_t is immersed in G.

Keywords: Immersion order, graph coloring, color-critical graphs.

1 Overview

The applications of graph coloring are legion. The usual goal, and the one we consider here, is to assign colors to vertices so that no two adjacent vertices are given the same color. Graph coloring has a long and storied history. The study of four-coloring planar graphs alone has generated interest for over 150 years [SK86]. Despite all this effort, graph coloring in general remains a notoriously difficult combinatorial problem.

Comparatively less is known about the immersion order and its applications. A pair of adjacent edges uv and vw, with $u \neq v$ and $v \neq w$, is *lifted* by deleting the edges uv and vw, and adding the edge uw. A graph H is said to be *immersed* in a graph G if and only if a graph isomorphic to H can be obtained from G by lifting pairs of edges and taking a subgraph. This notion is illustrated in Figure 1, which shows that K_4 is immersed in $K_1 + P_5$.

In the next section, we briefly survey some of the history behind the intriguing relationship between graph coloring and various forms of containment. Section 3 contains a few requisite mathematical preliminaries, foundational conjectures and initial observations. Here and elsewhere the central parameter is

[†] This research has been supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grants EIA–9972889 and CCR–0075792, by the Office of Naval Research under grant N00014–01–1–0608, by the Department of Energy under contract DE–AC05–00OR22725, and by the Tennessee Center for Information Technology Research under award E01–0178–081.

[‡] A preliminary version of a portion of this paper was presented at the Ninth International Conference on Computing and Combinatorics, held in Big Sky, Montana, in July 2003.

[§] Email: `faisal.abukhzam@lau.edu.lb`
[¶] Email: `langston@eecs.utk.edu`



Fig. 1: K_4 is immersed in $K_1 + P_5$.

t, the number of colors in use. The main body of our work is contained in the subsequent two sections. In particular, we prove that, for arbitrary $t \geq 5$, every t-chromatic graph contains either an immersed K_t or an immersed t-chromatic subgraph that is both 4-vertex connected and t-edge connected. This work, which is of special interest on its own, gives supporting evidence of our conjecture that every t-chromatic graph contains an immersed K_t.

2 Historical Context

The chromatic number of G, denoted by $\chi(G)$, is the minimum number of colors required by G in any proper coloring of its vertices. It is tempting to try to associate $\chi(G)$ with some sort of clique contained within G. After all, if G contains K_t as a subgraph(i), then it is easy to show that G can be colored with no fewer than t colors. To see that the presence of a K_t subgraph is not necessary, however, one needs only to observe that C_5, the cycle of order five, requires three colors yet does not contain K_3 as a subgraph.

Nevertheless, perhaps some weaker form of K_t is present. One possibility is topological containment, in which taking subgraphs is augmented with removing subdivisions. An edge is subdivided when it is replaced by a path formed from two edges and an internal vertex of degree two; subdivision removal reverses this operation. For example, C_5 contains K_3 topologically. In the 1940s Hajós conjectured that if $\chi(G) \geq t$, then G contains a topological K_t [Haj61]. The conjecture is trivially true for $t \leq 3$. In 1952 Dirac proved it true for $t = 4$ [Dir52]. It was not until Catlin's work in 1979 that Hajós' conjecture was finally settled, and negatively, with a family of counterexamples for $t \geq 7$ [Cat79]. Ironically, one such counterexample is the 15-vertex graph defined by the crossproduct of C_5 and K_3. It requires eight colors but contains no topological K_8. Subsequently, Erdős and Fajtlowicz were able to prove the rather surprising result that almost all graphs are counterexamples [EF81]. Thus Hajós' conjecture remains open only for $t \in \{5, 6\}$.

Another possibility is the minor order, for which the allowable operations are taking subgraphs and contracting edges. The minor order is a generalization of the topological order, because subdivision removal is just a special case of edge contraction. Hadwiger conjectured in 1943 that, if $\chi(G) \geq t$, then G contains a K_t minor [Had43]. This conjecture equates to Hajós' conjecture for $t \leq 4$. Wagner proved in

(i) Of course what we really mean is that G contains a subgraph isomorphic to K_t. We shall henceforth adopt this slight abuse of terminology, dropping the cumbersome reference to isomorphism as long as it creates no confusion or ambiguity.

1964 that, for $t = 5$, it is equivalent to the four color theorem [Wag64]. In 1993 Robertson, Seymour and Thomas proved it true for $t = 6$ [RST93]. Whether Hadwiger's conjecture holds true in general, however, has thus far not been decided. This is in spite of decades of research, hordes of supporting evidence and a multitude of results on many of its variants and restrictions [Bol78, Tof72, Tof95, Wes96]. Even the celebrated Graph Minor Theorem [RS04] appears to shed no particular light on this question, despite its extraordinary range of algorithmic applications [BL95]. As of this writing, a resolution of Hadwiger's conjecture seems distant.

In this paper we focus instead on the immersion order. Immersion containment is quite distinct from topological and minor containment. Recalling Figure 1, for example, we observe that K_4 is contained in $K_1 + P_5$ in neither the topological nor the minor order. Like the minor order, however, the immersion order is a generalization of the topological order. This is because subdivision removal is just a special case of lifting pairs of edges. Previous investigations into the immersion order have generally been conducted from a purely algorithmic standpoint. We refer the reader to [BGLR99, FL88, FL92, FL94, LP98] for examples and applications. In contrast, here we mainly consider structural issues. We establish compelling connections between graph coloring and the immersion order, which provides supporting evidence of our conjecture that K_t is immersed in any t-chromatic graph[(ii)].

3 Preliminaries

We mainly restrict our attention to finite, simple undirected graphs (multiple edges and loops that may arise from lifting are irrelevant to coloring). G is said to be *t-vertex-connected* if at least t vertex-disjoint paths connect every pair of its vertices. Moreover, the cardinality of a smallest vertex cutset in G is equal to the largest t for which G is t-vertex-connected (unless G is a complete graph, which can have no vertex cutset). G is said to be *t-edge-connected* if at least t edge-disjoint paths connect every pair of its vertices, and the cardinality of a smallest edge cutset in G is equal to the largest t for which G is t-edge-connected.

We use $\delta(G)$ to denote the minimum degree of a vertex of G. We use $N(u)$ to denote the neighborhood of u. If $\chi(G) \leq t$, then G is said to be *t-colorable*. If $\chi(G) = t$, then G is said to be *t-chromatic*. If $\chi(G) = t$ and $\chi(H) < t$ for every proper subgraph H of G, then G is said to be *t-color-critical*. If G is t-color-critical, then it is easy to see that $\delta(G) \geq t - 1$.

It is sometimes advantageous to select, restrict or manipulate colorings. For example, if G is t-chromatic but $G - u$ is only $(t - 1)$-chromatic, then it is possible to consider only colorings in which u is assigned a unique color. In fact, if G is t-color-critical, then for any vertex u there exists a coloring c in which $c(u) = 1$ and $c(v) \neq 1$ for every vertex $v \in G - u$.

A t-coloring of G is realized by a map c from the vertices of G to the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, t\}$ so that, if G contains the edge uv, then $c(u) \neq c(v)$. Given such a map, c_{ij} is used to denote the subgraph induced by the vertex set $\{u : c(u) \in \{i, j\}\}$. A path contained within c_{ij} is termed a *Kempe chain* [WT72], so-named in honor of the foundational work done on them by Kempe [Kem79]. Of course c_{ij} need not be connected, and so for any $u \in c_{ij}$ we employ $c_{ij}(u)$ to denote the set $\{v : v$ resides in the same connected component of c_{ij} as does $u\}$. Observe that, if $\{i, j\} \neq \{k, l\}$, then c_{ij} and c_{kl} are edge-disjoint.

Although the immersion order is traditionally defined in terms of taking subgraphs and lifting pairs of edges, the fact that different Kempe chains are edge-disjoint make it helpful for us to utilize the following alternate characterization: H is immersed in G if and only if there exists an injection from the vertices of

(ii) The conjecture was first published in the preliminary conference version of this paper at COCOON 2003.

H to the vertices of G for which the images of adjacent elements of H are connected in G by edge-disjoint paths. Under such an injection, an image vertex is called a *corner* of H in G; all image vertices and their associated paths are collectively called a *model* of H in G.

Given the various connections between graph coloring, degrees and connectivity, and in turn the connections between connectivity and the immersion order, we seek to determine just how $\chi(G)$ is related to immersion containment. Our efforts prompted us to set the stage for this with the following conjecture, which we formulated more than a decade ago.

Conjecture *If $\chi(G) \geq t$, then K_t is immersed in G.*

This conjecture motivates our work in the sequel. There we shall present what we believe is compelling evidence in its support. Our conjecture, like Hadwiger's, is trivially true for $t \leq 4$. This is because the immersion order generalizes the topological order, for which Hajós' conjecture is long known to hold when $t \leq 4$. Recently, Devos et al verified our conjecture for $t \leq 7$ [DKMO10, DDF$^+$]. The general case quite naturally appears to be much more difficult. Accordingly, our efforts in this paper focus mainly on global connectivity issues.

Before proceeding, we introduce a notion of immersion-criticality and show how it relates to the possible existence of counterexamples.

Definition *G is* t-immersion-critical *if $\chi(G) = t$ and $\chi(H) < t$ whenever H is properly immersed in G.*

Because $\chi(K_t) = t$, any counterexample must either be t-immersion-critical or have properly immersed within it another t-immersion-critical counterexample. Similarly, any t-immersion-critical graph distinct from K_t must be a counterexample. Thus our immersion-conjecture is equivalent to the statement that K_t is the only t-immersion-critical graph for every t. Although we have thus far fallen short of establishing this one way or the other, we note that there are at most a finite number of them for each t. This follows easily from properties of well-quasi-orders and immersion order obstruction sets. We refer the reader unfamiliar with these concepts to [FL88, FL92, Kin93].

Graph connectivity has long been a central feature of attempts to settle Hadwiger's conjecture. G is said to be *t-minor-critical* [(iii)] if $\chi(G) = t$ and $\chi(H) < t$ whenever H is a proper minor of G. K_t is of course both $(t-1)$-vertex-connected and $(t-1)$-edge-connected. Thus, if any t-minor-critical graph is not as strongly connected, then Hadwiger's conjecture is false for all $t' \geq t$. So suppose G denotes a t-minor-critical graph other than K_t (in which case the conjecture fails). Some 35 years ago, Mader [Mad68] showed that G must be at least 7-vertex-connected whenever $t \geq 7$. This provides evidence in support of our conjecture for $t \in \{7, 8\}$. A few years later [Tof72], Toft proved that G must also be t-edge-connected. This provides additional supporting evidence for all t. Very recently, Kawarabayashi has shown that G must be at least $\lceil \frac{t}{3} \rceil$-vertex-connected as well [Kaw07]. Following this approach, we study both the vertex and edge connectivity of t-immersion-critical graphs. We assume $t \geq 8$ unless stated otherwise. Kempe chains play a pivotal role in our investigation.

(iii) In the literature, this notion has sometimes been termed *t-contraction-critical*.

4 Vertex Connectivity of t-Immersion-Critical Graphs

Because they are t-color-critical, it is easy to see that t-immersion-critical graphs are 2-vertex-connected [Bol78]. We now establish that they must in fact be at least 4-vertex-connected. Our work linking coloring to the immersion order begins in earnest with Lemma 4. First, however, we present something of an introduction with three easy but useful lemmas about cutsets, paths and coloring. Lemmas 1 and 2 are probably well known, although they may not be formulated anywhere else in precisely the same way we state them in this treatment. Lemma 2, which we dub *The Patching Lemma*, is especially helpful. Lemma 3 is certainly well known, and mentioned in a variety of sources (see, for example, [Har69, Tof95, Wes96]). We include the proofs of these lemmas here both for completeness and, more importantly, to illustrate and clarify their utility in subsequent results.

Lemma 1 *Let S denote a minimum-cardinality vertex cutset in a 2-vertex-connected graph G, and let C denote a connected component of $G\backslash S$. Then any two elements of S must be connected by a path whose interior vertices lie completely within C.*

Proof: Because G is 2-vertex-connected, $|S| \geq 2$. Let a and b denote any two distinct elements of S. It must be that a is adjacent to some vertex u in C, since otherwise S is not minimal ($S\backslash\{a\}$ defines a vertex cutset of cardinality $|S|-1$). Similarly, it must be that b is adjacent to some vertex v in C. If $u = v$, then we are done. If $u \neq v$, then the connectedness of C ensures that there is a subpath P from u to v lying completely within C. Thus $au \cup P \cup vb$ is the desired path. □

Two colorings are said to be *equivalent* if the partitions induced by their respective color classes are identical.

Lemma 2 (The Patching Lemma) *Let S denote a vertex cutset of G, and let G_1 and G_2 denote a pair of induced subgraphs for which $G_1 \cup G_2 = G$ and $G_1 \cap G_2 = S$. If G_1 and G_2 admit t-colorings whose restrictions to S are equivalent, then G is t-colorable.*

Proof: Let S, G, G_1 and G_2 be as defined in the statement of the lemma. Let c and d denote the specified t-colorings of G_1 and G_2, respectively. Modify one coloring, say d, by renaming its color classes so that each element of S is assigned the same integer under both colorings. Patched together, c and d now provide a t-coloring of G. □

The Patching Lemma can be used to establish the following well-known fact.

Lemma 3 *No vertex cutset of a t-color-critical graph can be a clique.*

The preceding lemmas tell us a good deal about the make-up of vertex cutsets, and how they relate to coloring. Armed with this information, we are now able to argue more directly about vertex connectivity and the immersion order. To simplify matters, we shall adopt the following conventions for the remainder of this subsection:

- t is at least eight,
- G denotes a t-immersion-critical graph,
- S denotes a minimum-cardinality vertex cutset in G,
- C denotes a connected component of $G\backslash S$,

- G_1 denotes the subgraph induced by $C \cup S$, and
- G_2 denotes $G \backslash C$.

Lemma 4 *Every t-immersion-critical graph is 3-vertex-connected.*

Proof: Suppose otherwise, as witnessed by some G with $S = \{a, b\}$. We know from Lemma 3 that the edge ab is not present in G. Let $i \in \{1, 2\}$. By Lemma 1, there must be a path, P_i, with endpoints a and b, whose vertices lie completely within G_i. Lifting the edges of P_{3-i} to form the single edge ab, and then taking the subgraph induced by the vertices of G_i, produces a graph H_i properly immersed in G. It follows that H_i is $(t-1)$-colorable. Because ab is present in H_i, any such coloring of H_i assigns different colors to a and b. But G_i is a subgraph of H_i. Thus, there are $(t-1)$-colorings of G_1 and G_2 that each assign different colors to a and b. By the Patching Lemma, this ensures a $(t-1)$-coloring of G, a contradiction. □

Lemma 5 *If $|S| = 3$, then both G_1 and G_2 admit $(t-1)$-colorings that assign more than one color to the elements of S.*

Proof: Let $S = \{u, v, w\}$, and consider the case for G_1. By Lemma 1, there is a path between u and v in G_2. Lifting this path and taking the subgraph induced by the vertices of G_1 produces a graph H properly immersed in G. Because G is t-immersion-critical, and because H contains the edge uv, H admits a $(t-1)$-coloring that assigns different colors to u and v. As a subgraph of H, G_1 can likewise be colored. A symmetrical argument handles the case for G_2. □

What we have really just shown is that if G is only 3-vertex-connected, then G_1 admits a $(t-1)$-coloring that assigns different colors to any fixed pair of elements of S. This raises the possibility that a single coloring of G_1 may suffice, simultaneously assigning different colors to all three elements of S. We now show that this cannot happen. It follows that the same must then be true for G_2.

Let a and b denote vertices of G, and let c denote a coloring of G in which $c(a) = i \neq j = c(b)$. If a and b belong to the same connected component of c_{ij}, then they are connected by some Kempe chain P_{ij} contained within c_{ij}. In this event, we say that a and b are *c-chained*.

Lemma 6 *If $|S| = 3$, then neither G_1 nor G_2 admits a $(t-1)$-coloring that assigns three different colors to the elements of S.*

Proof: Suppose otherwise, as witnessed by a $(t-1)$-coloring c of G_1. Let $S = \{u, v, w\}$ and assume, without loss of generality, that $c(u) = 1, c(v) = 2$ and $c(w) = 3$. Let d denote some $(t-1)$-coloring of G_2. By Lemma 5 and the Patching Lemma, we may further assume, again without loss of generality, that d assigns exactly two colors to the elements of S, with $d(u) = d(v)$. If u and v are not c-chained, then we can exchange colors 1 and 2 in $c_{12}(v)$ to produce a $(t-1)$-coloring c' of G_1 that assigns color 1 to both u and v and leaves the color of w set to 3. This means that the restrictions of c' and d to S are equivalent. But now, by the Patching Lemma, G is $(t-1)$-colorable, which is impossible.

Thus it must be that u and v are c-chained by some P_{12} in G_1. Lifting this chain and taking the subgraph induced by the vertices of G_2 produces a graph H properly immersed in G. H contains uv, and so must admit a $(t-1)$-coloring d' that assigns different colors to u and v. G_2 is likewise colored by d'. By the Patching Lemma, d' cannot assign a third color to w. So assume, again without loss of generality, that $d'(w) = d'(u)$. If u and w are not c-chained, then (as in the previous argument) we can construct a $(t-1)$-coloring c'' of G_1 so that the restrictions of c'' and d' to S are equivalent, which is impossible.

Thus it must be that u and w are c-chained by some P_{13} in G_1. Because they are edge disjoint, P_{12} and P_{13} can be lifted simultaneously. Lifting these two chains and taking the subgraph induced by the vertices of G_2 produces a graph H' properly immersed in G. H' contains both uv and uw, and so must admit a $(t-1)$-coloring d'' that assigns different colors to u and v and different colors to u and w. G_2 is likewise colored by d''. By the Patching Lemma, d'' cannot assign three colors to the elements of S. So it must be that $d''(v) = d''(w)$. If v and w are not c-chained, then (as in the previous arguments) we can construct a $(t-1)$-coloring c''' of G_1 so that the restrictions of c''' and d'' to S are equivalent, which is impossible.

Thus it must be that v and w are c-chained by some P_{23} in G_1. Because they are edge disjoint, P_{12}, P_{13} and P_{23} can be lifted simultaneously. Lifting these three chains and taking the subgraph induced by the vertices of G_2 produces a graph H'' properly immersed in G. H'' contains uv, uw and vw, and so must admit a $(t-1)$-coloring d''' that assigns three different colors to S. G_2 is likewise colored by d'''. This means that the restrictions of c and d''' to S are equivalent, which is impossible, contradicting the supposition that c exists. □

Bolstered by the preceding Lemmas, we are now ready to prove that minimum-cardinality vertex cutsets of t-immersion-critical graphs have at least four elements. The use of Kempe chains in Lemma 6 has been especially effective, so much so that we need only paths not chains in what follows.

Theorem 1 *Every t-immersion-critical graph is 4-vertex-connected.*

Proof: Suppose otherwise, as witnessed by some G with $S = \{u, v, w\}$. Let c and d denote $(t-1)$-colorings of G_1 and G_2, respectively. By Lemmas 5 and 6, we restrict our attention to the case in which both c and d assign exactly two colors to elements of S. Without loss of generality, assume $c(u) = c(v)$ and $d(u) = d(w)$. By Lemma 1, there is a path P_1 in G_1 whose endpoints are u and w. Similarly, there is a path P_2 in G_2 whose endpoints are u and v. Lifting P_i and taking the graph induced by the vertices of G_{3-i} produces a graph H_{3-i} properly immersed in G. H_1 contains uv, and so must admit a $(t-1)$-coloring c' that assigns different colors to u and v. G_1 is likewise colored by c'. By Lemma 6, c' cannot assign a third color to w. Lest the restrictions of c' and d to S be equivalent, it must be that $c'(w) = c'(v)$. H_2 contains uw, and so must admit a $(t-1)$-coloring d' that assigns different colors to u and w. G_2 is likewise colored by d'. By Lemma 6, d' cannot assign a third color to v. But if $d'(v) = d'(u)$, then the restrictions of c and d' to S are equivalent. And if $d'(v) = d'(w)$, then the restrictions of c' and d' to S are equivalent. Thus, under some pair of colorings of G_1 and G_2, the Patching Lemma ensures that G is $(t-1)$-colorable, a contradiction. □

5 Edge Connectivity

Because the immersion order includes the taking of subgraphs, we know that t-immersion-critical graphs are also t-color-critical. From the work of [Tof74] it follows that they are $(t-1)$-edge-connected. We now show that any t-immersion-critical graph other than K_t is in fact t-edge-connected. We begin a pair of well-known observations (see, for example, [Wes96]).

Observation 1 *A minimum-cardinality edge cutset separates a graph into exactly two connected components.*

Observation 2 *If H is obtained by deleting the edge uv from a t-color-critical graph, then H is $(t-1)$-colorable and, under any $(t-1)$-coloring, u and v are assigned the same color.*

The significance of Observation 2 rests with the next lemma, which plays an essential role in our edge-connectivity arguments. This lemma is probably also well known, although it may not be formulated elsewhere in exactly the same way we state it here.

Lemma 7 *Let H be obtained by deleting the edge uv from a t-color-critical graph. Let c denote a $(t-1)$-coloring of H with $c(u) = c(v) = 1$. Then $v \in c_{1i}(u)$ for all $i \in \{2, 3, cdots, t-1\}$.*

Proof: Let H and c be defined as stated. Suppose the lemma is false, as witnessed by some i with $v \notin c_{1i}(u)$. Exchanging colors 1 and i in $c_{1i}(u)$ produces c', another $(t-1)$-coloring of H. But then u and v are assigned different colors under c', which is impossible. □

Aided by this information about color-criticality, we are now able to argue more directly about edge connectivity and the immersion order. We shall adopt the following conventions for the remainder of this subsection:

- t is at least eight,
- G denotes a t-immersion-critical graph,
- S denotes a minimum-cardinality edge cutset in G,
- C_1 and C_2 denote the two connected components of $G \backslash S$,
- S_1 and S_2 denote the endpoints of S contained in C_1 and C_2, respectively,
- uv denotes an element of S, with $u \in S_1$ and $v \in S_2$, and
- H denotes $G \backslash \{uv\}$.

Lemma 8 *If G is not t-edge-connected, then every $(t-1)$-coloring of H assigns either one color to S_1 and all $t-1$ colors to S_2 or vice versa.*

Proof: Suppose G is not t-edge-connected. We know from [Tof74] that $|S| = t-1$. Let c denote a $(t-1)$-coloring of H with $c(u) = c(v) = 1$. Lemma 7 ensures that $v \in c_{1i}(u)$ for all $i \in \{2, 3, \cdots, t-1\}$. Therefore u and v are the endpoints of $t-2$ Kempe chains, where each chain is contained within $c_{1i}(u)$ for some i. The chains are edge disjoint, and so each contains at least one distinct element of $S' = S \backslash \{uv\}$. Thus there is a one-to-one correspondence between chains and elements of S'. This means that every element of S' has an endpoint assigned color 1 by c. If c assigns only color 1 to S_1, then it must assign all $t-1$ colors to S_2. Similarly, if c assigns all $t-1$ colors to S_1, then it must assign only color 1 to S_2.

The only remaining case to consider occurs if c assigns more than one but fewer than $t-1$ colors to S_1. To show that this cannot happen, we now proceed by contradiction, and suppose S_1 contains vertex w assigned color i, but no vertex assigned color j, where $\{i, j\} \subseteq \{2, 3, \cdots, t-1\}$. It must be that $c_{ij}(w)$ is completely contained within C_1. We exchange colors i and j in $c_{ij}(w)$ to produce c', another $(t-1)$-coloring of H with $c'(u) = c'(v) = 1$. By this construction, c' assigns color j to endpoints of two different elements of S', and accordingly assigns color i to the endpoint of no element of S'. We conclude that $v \notin c'_{1i}(u)$, contradicting Lemma 7. □

Theorem 2 *Any t-immersion-critical graph other than K_t is t-edge-connected.*

Proof: Suppose otherwise, as witnessed by some G, not isomorphic to K_t, that is only $(t-1)$-edge-connected. We apply Lemma 8 and, without loss of generality, let c denote a $(t-1)$-coloring of H that assigns color 1 to $S_1 \cup \{v\}$. Thus all $t-1$ colors are assigned to S_2, and we index the elements of S_2 by $\{v = v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_{t-1}\}$, where $c(v_i) = i$.

Let i and j denote distinct elements of $\{1, 2, \cdots, t-1\}$. It must be that v_i belongs to $c_{ij}(v_j)$, since otherwise we can exchange colors i and j in $c_{ij}(v_j)$ to produce a $(t-1)$-coloring of H in which the elements of S_2 are assigned $t-2$ colors, thereby contradicting Lemma 8. It follows that v_i and v_j are the endpoints of a Kempe chain contained within $c_{ij}(v_j)$. Moreover, even if i or j is 1, the elements of S_1 are excluded from this chain. Therefore the chain is completely contained within C_2. Because such a chain exists for each pair of vertices in S_2, and because the chains are edge disjoint, K_{t-1} is immersed in C_2 using a model whose corners are the elements of S_2.

Now let i denote an element of $\{2, \cdots, t-1\}$. From the proof of Lemma 8, we know that u and v are the endpoints of a Kempe chain containing v_i. This means that u and v_i are the endpoints of a subchain completely contained within $C_1 \cup S$. Because such a chain exists for each vertex in $S_2 \backslash \{v\}$, because the chains are edge disjoint, and because $uv \in G$, K_t is immersed in G using a model whose corners are $\{u\} \cup S_2$. This is the desired contradiction. □

Corollary 1 *If G is t-immersion-critical and not K_t, then $\delta(G) \geq t$.*

Proof: Immediate from Theorem 2 and the fact that $\delta(G)$ is an upper bound on G's edge connectivity. □

Corollary 2 *If G is t-color-critical with a vertex u of degree $t-1$, then K_t is immersed in G via a model whose corners are $\{u\} \cup N(u)$.*

Proof: Follows from the proof of Theorem 2 by letting S be the set of edges incident on u. □

References

[BGLR99] H. D. Booth, R. Govindan, M. A. Langston, and S. Ramachandramurthi. Sequential and parallel algorithms for K_4 immersion testing. *J. of Algorithms*, 30:344–378, 1999.

[BL95] D. Bienstock and M. A. Langston. Algorithmic implications of the graph minor theorem. In M. O. Ball, T. L. Magnanti, C. L. Monma, and G. L. Nemhauser, editors, *Handbook of Operations Research and Management Science: Network Models*, pages 481–502. North-Holland, 1995.

[Bol78] B. Bollobás. *Extremal Graph Theory*. Academic Press, 1978.

[Cat79] P. A. Catlin. Hajós graph-coloring conjecture: variation and counterexamples. *J. of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 26:268–274, 1979.

[DDF+] M. DeVos, Z. Dvořák, J. Fox, J. McDonald, B. Mohar, and D. Scheide. Minimum degree condition forcing complete graph immersion. (http://arxiv.org/abs/1101.2630).

[Dir52] G. A. Dirac. A property of 4-chromatic graphs and some remarks on critical graphs. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 27:85–92, 1952.

[DKMO10] M. Devos, K. Kawarabayashi, B. Mohar, and H. Okamura. Immersing small complete graphs. *Ars Mathematica Contemporanea*, 3:139–146, 2010.

[EF81] P. Erdős and S. Fajtlowicz. On the conjecture of Hajös. *Combinatorica*, 1:141–143, 1981.

[FL88] M. R. Fellows and M. A. Langston. Nonconstructive tools for proving polynomial-time decidability. *J. of the ACM*, 35:727–739, 1988.

[FL92] M. R. Fellows and M. A. Langston. On well-partial-order theory and its application to combinatorial problems of VLSI design. *SIAM J. on Discrete Mathematics*, 5:117–126, 1992.

[FL94] M. R. Fellows and M. A. Langston. On search, decision and the efficiency of polynomial-time algorithms. *J. of Computer and Systems Sciences*, 49:769–779, 1994.

[Had43] H. Hadwiger. Über eine Klassifikation der Streckenkomplexe. *Vierteljahrsschr. Naturforsch. Ges. Zürich*, 88:133–142, 1943.

[Haj61] G. Hajós. Über eine Konstruktion nicht n-färbbarer Graphen. *Wiss. Z. Martin Luther Univ. Halle-Wittenberg, Math. Naturwiss. Reihe*, 10:116–117, 1961.

[Har69] F. Harary. *Graph Theory*. Addison-Wesley, 1969.

[Kaw07] K. Kawarabayashi. On the connectivity of minimum and minimal counterexamples to Hadwiger's conjecture. *J. of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 97(1):144–150, 2007.

[Kem79] A. B. Kempe. How to color a map with four colours without coloring adjacent districts the same color. *Nature*, 20:275, 1879.

[Kin93] N. G. Kinnersley. Immersion order obstruction sets. *Congressus Numerantium*, 98:113–123, 1993.

[LP98] M. A. Langston and B. C. Plaut. Algorithmic applications of the immersion order. *Discrete Mathematics*, 182:191–196, 1998.

[Mad68] W. Mader. Homomorphiesätze für Graphen. *Math. Ann.*, 178:154–168, 1968.

[RS04] N. Robertson and P. D. Seymour. Graph minors XX: Wagner's conjecture. *J. of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 92(2), 2004.

[RST93] N. Robertson, P. D. Seymour, and R. Thomas. Hadwiger's conjecture for K_6-free graphs. *Combinatorica*, 13:279–361, 1993.

[SK86] T. L. Saaty and P. C. Kainen. *The Four-Color Problem*. Dover Publications, Inc., 1986.

[Tof72] B. Toft. On separating sets of edges in contraction-critical graphs. *Math. Ann.*, 196:129–147, 1972.

[Tof74] B. Toft. On critical subgraphs of colour critical graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 7:377–392, 1974.

[Tof95] B. Toft. Colouring, stable sets and perfect graphs. In R. Graham, M. Grötschel, and L. Lovász, editors, *Handbook of Combinatorics*, volume 2, chapter 4, pages 233–288. Elsevier Science B.V., 1995.

[Wag64] K. Wagner. Beweis einer Abschwächung der Hadwiger-Vermutung. *Math. Ann.*, 153:139–141, 1964.

[Wes96] D. B. West. *Introduction to Graph Theory*. Prentice Hall, 1996.

[WT72] H. Whitney and W. T. Tutte. Kempe chains and the four colour problem. *Utilitas Math.*, 2:241–281, 1972.